The ready reversibility of the sulfametallacycle (10a)-terminal sulfide (9a) transformation suggests that Cp2*Zr=S is more stable than Cp₂*Zr=O with respect to cycloaddition with alkynes. We are presently exploring the reactivity of these terminal oxo and sulfido complexes with other unsaturated organic fragments such as olefins, aldehydes, and ketones. Also, metallacyclobutenes such as 3 and 10 promise to exhibit rich M-C and perhaps M-O(S)insertion chemistry (as do their nitrogen-containing analogues),¹³ and experiments designed to test this expectation will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institutes of Health (Grant No. GM-25457) for financial support of this work. M.J.C. gratefully acknowledges a postdoctoral (NRSA) fellowship from the NIH. We also thank Dr. F. J. Hollander, director of the University of California Berkeley College of Chemistry X-ray diffraction facility (CHEXRAY), for his assistance in solving the crystal structures of 9a and 10b.

Supplementary Material Available: Spectroscopic and analytical data for complexes 2-10c and details of the structure determinations for 9a and 10b including experimental descriptions, ORTEP drawings showing full atomic numbering, crystal and data collection parameters, general temperature factor expressions (B's), positional parameters and their estimated standard deviations, and intramolecular distances and angles (30 pages); listing of observed and calculated structure factors for 9a and 10b (34 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(13) Baranger, A. M.; Walsh, P. J.; Bergman, R. G., results to be published.

Sugars as DNA Binders: A Comment on the Calicheamicin Oligosaccharide

Suzanne Walker, Kathleen G. Valentine, and Daniel Kahne*

Department of Chemistry, Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey 08544 Received April 20, 1990

Preorganization is one of the first principles of tight binding.¹ In general, the more the conformation of a free ligand resembles its bound conformation, the better it binds. Small molecules that bind in the minor groove of DNA tend to be extended structures that present an essentially acyclic array of functionality to the groove and nature uses a variety of strategies to rigidify these molecules.^{2.3} The recent discovery of calicheamicin γ^1 , a small antitumor antibiotic that cleaves DNA site specifically, has drawn attention to the important role that oligosaccharides may play in DNA binding.⁴ Oligosaccharides are components of many natural DNA binders, but their contributions to the energetics and specificity of binding are only beginning to be explored.⁵ Below we



Figure 1. Calicheamicin ϵ . Selected through-space connectivities are indicated by bold (strong NOEs) or dashed (weak NOEs) arrows. ROESY experiments were carried out with 200-300-ms mixing times and an approximately 3-KHz spin-lock field.

report the results of NMR studies on the oligosaccharide portion of calicheamicin. We suggest that oligosaccharides may be well suited to function as minor groove binders because they are substantially preorganized. Some of the unusual structural features of calicheamicin are discussed in relation to their possible role in DNA binding.

NMR studies of calicheamic ϵ (Figure 1), the rearrangement product of $\gamma^{1,6}$ were carried out in CD₃OD, CDCl₃, and DMSO- d_6 , three solvents that differ greatly in their hydrogenbonding capabilities and dielectric constants. Double-quantumfiltered COSY was used to assign chemical shifts, and rotating frame nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy (ROESY) was used to determine through-space connectivities (a table of ¹H NMR chemical shifts, J coupling constants, and NOEs for the oligosaccharide portion of calicheamicin ϵ is provided as supplementary material). The coupling constants and intraresidue NOEs of three of the sugar rings are virtually identical in all three solvents and are consistent with a single chair conformer for each monosaccharide: ${}^{4}C_{1}$ for the A and B rings and ${}^{1}C_{4}$ for the D ring. Temperature experiments conducted in CD₃OD and CDCl₃ indicate that neither the coupling constants nor the chemical shifts of the three sugars change significantly from -50 to +50 °C. Taken together, these results imply that there is a large free energy difference between the lowest energy ring conformers and all others. Conformational rigidity is a general feature of substituted six-membered rings and may make them ideal building blocks for DNA binders. While no experimental information about the bound conformation of the calicheamicin oligosaccharide has been obtained yet, it is clear that the energy cost of significantly distorting the A, B, or D rings upon binding would be substantial.8

The room-temperature coupling constants and NOEs of the remaining sugar, the E ring, are consistent with a chair conformation with an axial glycosidic linkage. However, the H1-H2e couplings differ notably in CDCl₃ and the more polar solvents,⁹ suggesting that there is a degree of conformational flexibility in the E ring. Consistent with this interpretation, the E-ring resonances broaden and shift significantly below 0 °C in CD₃OD.

⁽¹⁾ Cram, D. J.; Trueblood, K. N. In Host Guest Complex Chemistry; Vogtle, E., Weber, E., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1985; pp 1-42.

⁽²⁾ Both fused and unfused aromatic rings are common in small molecules that bind to DNA. In netropsin, distamycin, and related molecules, amide bonds, which have high rotational barriers and single preferred (trans) conformations, join sequential aromatic rings. (a) For a review of minor groove binders, see: Zimmer, C.; Wahnert, U. Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 1986, 47, 31. (b) Dervan, P. B. Science 1986, 232, 464. (c) Sigman, D. S. Acc. Chem.

⁽a) Bortan, F. B. Strence 1200, 222, 404. (c) eightain, 27.0 Her. Column.
(b) Bortan, F. B. Strence 1986, 19, 180.
(c) Strence 1986, 233, 727. (b) Wilson, W. D.; Tanious, F. A.; Barton, J. H.; Strekowski, L.; Boykin, D. W.; Jones, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5008, and references therein.

 ^{(4) (}a) Lee, M. D.; Dunne, T. S.; Siegel, M. M.; Chang, C. C.; Morton,
 G. O.; Borders, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3464. (b) Lee, M. D.;
 Dunne, T. S.; Chang, C. C.; Ellestad, G. A.; Siegel, M. M.; Morton, G. O.;
 McGahren, W. J.; Borders, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3466. (c)
 Zein, N.; Sinha, A. M.; McGahren, W. J.; Ellestad, G. A. Science 1988, 240, 1198

^{(5) (}a) Zein, N.; Poncin, M.; Nilakanta, R.; Ellestad, G. A. Science 1989, (5) (a) Zein, N.; Poncin, M.; Nilakanta, R.; Ellestad, G. A. Science 1989, 244, 697. (b) Long, B. H.; Golik, J.; Forenza, S.; Ward, B.; Rehfuss, R.; Dabrowiak, J. C.; Catino, J. J.; Musial, S. T.; Brookshire, K. W., Doyle, T. W. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1989, 86, 2. (c) Hawley, R. C.; Kiessling, L. L.; Schreiber, S. L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1989, 86, 1105. (d) Sugiura, Y.; Uesawa, Y.; Takahashi, Y.; Kuwahara, J.; Golik, J.; Doyle, T. W. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1989, 86, 7672. Inter alia: (e) Gao, X.; Yatel, D. J. Q. Rev. Biophys. 1989, 22, 93, and references therein. (f) Liaw, Y.-C.; Gao, Y.-G.; Robinson, H.; van der Marel, G. A.; van Boom, J. H.; Wang, A. H.-J. Biochemistry 1989, 28, 9913. (g) Williams, L. D.; Egli, M.; Gao, Q.; Bash, P.; Marel, G. A.; 1990, 87, 2225. (6) NMR studies on calicheamicin γ^1 were carried out in CD₃OD and the

⁽⁶⁾ NMR studies on calicheamicin γ^1 were carried out in CD₃OD and the oligosaccharide conformation appeared to be identical with that in calicheamicin e

^{7) (}a) Bothner-by, A. A.; Stephens, R. L.; Lee, J.; Warren, C. D.; Jeanloz, R. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 811. (b) Bax, A.; Davis, D. G. J. Magn.

⁽⁸⁾ In view of these results it is unlikely that the A ring exists in a boat conformation as depicted in ref 5c. Professor Schreiber has reached a similar conclusion (private communication). (9) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) H1 (d, J = 3 Hz); (CD₃OD, DMSO) H1 (t, J = 3 Hz);

³ Hz).

Information about the disposition of the ring systems relative to one another and to other parts of calicheamicin can in principal be obtained from interresidue NOEs. Calicheamicin is an extended molecule and more than one atom intervenes between monosaccharides in several of the linkages, so in practice few interresidue NOEs are observed in the ROESY spectra. The strong NOEs between E1 and A2, and E3 and R14, and the weak NOE from A1 to R8 in both CDCl₃ and CD₃OD help define two of the glycosidic linkages and the orientation of the rearranged aglycon to the oligosaccharide. The fact that the NOEs are similar in these different solvents suggests that the molecule is rigid in this region. Such an interpretation is consistent with studies showing that torsional oscillations of glycosidic linkages to secondary alcohols tend to be confined to narrow regions of conformational space.¹⁰⁻¹² Thus, not only are the individual sugars in oligosaccharides rigid, but many of the glycosidic linkages are conformationally restricted as well.

Perhaps the most interesting feature in the calicheamicin oligosaccharide is the N-O linkage between the A and B rings; N-O linkages are quite rate in oligosaccharides. Obviously, the N-O bond could play a role in hydrogen bonding to polar functionalities in the minor groove. It may also be an important structural element that enforces an extended conformation in the central portion of the molecule. Studies by others on hydroxylamine derivatives show that rotation and inversion barriers around N-O bonds can be high, as much as 15 kcal/mol in some instances.¹³ However, even at -50 °C, the resonance lines of the A- and B-ring protons in the vicinity of the N-O linkage of calicheamicin do not show signs of slow exchange in either CDCl₃ or CD₃OD. Although the temperature studies are equivocal because we do not know either the barrier height or the population distribution around the N-O bond, the results could indicate that there is a preferred conformer of the N-O bond. The existence of a weak NOE between B1 and the A6 methyl group and the fact that the protons in the vicinity of the N-O linkage resonate at almost identical frequencies in all three solvents strongly support this interpretation.

Finally, it is worth noting that these studies were carried out in organic solvents because neither calicheamicin ϵ nor calicheamicin γ^1 is soluble in water at millimolar concentrations. In fact, the calicheamicin oligosaccharide is remarkably hydrophobic—all the sugars are 6-deoxy¹⁴ and there are only four free hydroxyls. It is likely that this hydrophobicity plays a significant role in DNA binding.

In conclusion, NMR studies indicate that the calicheamicin oligosaccharide is substantially preorganized. The ability to adopt a rigid, extended conformation makes oligosaccharides potentially ideal DNA binders. The calicheamicin oligosaccharide may provide insight into additional features necessary to design oligosaccharide-based DNA-binding molecules. In particular, the N-O linkage and the notable hydrophobicity may be important design elements.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. George Ellestad and Dr. Wei-Dong Ding (Lederle Laboratories) for a generous gift of both

other examples are chromomycin and mithramycin.

calicheamicin γ^1 and ϵ . This work was supported by The Parenteral Drug Association Foundation for Pharmaceutical Sciences (fellowship for S.W.), the Searle Scholars Program/The Chicago Community Trust, and funds from an ONR Young Investigator Award (to D.K.).

Supplementary Material Available: A table of ¹H NMR chemical shifts, coupling constants, and NOEs for the oligosaccharide portion of calicheamicin ϵ (1 page). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Enantioselective Total Synthesis of a Protosterol, 3β , 20-Dihydroxyprotost-24-ene

E. J. Corey* and Scott C. Virgil

Department of Chemistry, Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 Received May 11, 1990

The enzymic cyclization of 2,3-oxidosqualene¹ in sterol biosynthesis is considered to form a primary tetracyclic skeleton (protostane, fusidane), which must undergo rearrangement before common sterols such as lanosterol, the precursor of cholesterol, can be produced.² We report herein the first direct total synthesis of the protosterol system, specifically protostenediols 1a and 1b, which are of biosynthetic interest, by an effective and enantiospecific route.³

Enone 2⁴ and 2-methyl-1,3-cyclohexanedione were converted to the Michael coupling product (Et₃N in dimethoxyethane at 23 °C),⁵ which underwent enantioselective aldol cyclization⁶ with l equiv of (S)-phenylalanine and 0.5 equiv of (+)-camphorsulfonic acid in dimethylformamide at 23 °C for 24 days to form 3 [77% yield, 95% ee as determined by ¹H NMR analysis in C_6D_6 with added shift reagent Eu(hfc)₃ (Aldrich Co.)]. Recrystallization from ether at -20 °C afforded pure (S)-(+)-enedione 3; $[\alpha]^{23}$ _D + 110.3° (c = 4, CHCl₃), mp 67-68 °C, 81% recovery. Position-selective and stereoselective annulation of 3 was effected by the following sequence: (1) addition of 3 to a premixed solution of potassium hexamethyldisilazide and Et_3B (1.1:1) in THF at --78 °C, warming to -25 °C, and reaction at -25 °C with diethyl 3-iodopropynephosphonate⁷ for 2 h to afford (after sgc) the desired monoalkylation product (86%); (2) hydration of C=C to give a β -ketophosphonate using 1 equiv of HgCl₂ and 1.5 equiv of pyridine in aqueous THF at 23 °C for 36 h; and (3) cyclization of the crude product with 2 equiv of Cs₂CO₃ in THF at 23 °C for 16 h to give stereospecifically the pure tricyclic enone 4 (72%). Reduction of 4 with lithium trisiamylborohydride in THF at -40

⁽¹⁰⁾ Bock, K.; Hvidt, T.; Marino-Albernas, J.; Verez-Bencomo Carbohyd. Res. 1990, 200, 33.

^{(11) (}a) Lemieux, R. U.; Bock, K.; Delbaere, L. T. J.; Koto, S.; Rao, V. S. Can. J. Chem. 1980, 58, 631. (b) Paulsen, H.; Peters, T.; Sinnwell, V.; Lebuhn, R.; Meyer, B. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1984, 951. (c) Bush, C. A.; Yan,
 Z. Y.; Rao, B. N. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 6168. (d) Homans, S.
 W.; Pastore, A.; Dwek, R. A.; Rademacher, T. W. Biochemistry 1987, 26, 6649. (e) For an alternative view, see: Cumming, D. A.; Carver, J. P. Biochemistry 1987, 26, 6664.

⁽¹²⁾ For studies on the conformational preferences of C-glycosides, see:
(a) Wu, T.-C.; Goekjian, P. G.; Kishi, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4823. (b)
Babirad, S. A.; Wang, Y.; Goekjian, P. G.; Kishi, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4827. (c) Miller, W. H.; Ryckman, D. M.; Goekjian, P. G.; Wang, Y.; Kishi,

^{4827. (}c) Miller, W. H.; Ryckman, D. M.; Goekjian, P. G.; Wang, Y.; Kishi,
Y. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 5582.
(13) See, inter alia: (a) Fink, W. H.; Pan, D. C.; Allen, L. C. J. Chem.
Phys. 1967, 47, 895. (b) Riddell, F. G. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 849. (c)
Raban, M.; Kost, D. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 3345. (d) Raban, M.; Martin,
V. A.; Craine, L. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4311.
(14) 6-Deoxy sugars are common in DNA-binding oligosaccharides. Two

 ^{(1) (}a) Corey, E. J.; Russey, W. E.; Ortiz de Montellano, P. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 4750.
 (b) Corey, E. J.; Russey, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 4751.
 (c) Van Tamelen, E. E., Willet, J. D.; Clayton, R. B.; Lord, K. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 4752–4753.
 (2) For a review, see: Harrison, D. M. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1985, 2, 525–560.

⁽³⁾ Protosterols are also of interest as intermediates in the biosynthesis of unrearranged fusidanes such as the antibiotics fusidic and helvolic acids; (a) Godtfredsen, W. O.; Rastrup-Anderson, N.; Vangedal, S.; Ollis, W. D. Tetrahedron 1979, 35, 2419–2431. (b) Ebersole, R. C.; Godtfredsen, W. O.; Vangedal, S.; Caspi, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 6499–6507. (c) Caspi, E. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 3–50.
(4) Saucy, G.; Koch, W.; Muller, M.; Furst, A. Helv. Chim. Acta 1970, 53, 964–973. We found it preferable to prepare 2 from methyl 5-oxoheptanoate (Reinheckel, H.; Gensike, R. J. Prakt. Chem. 1968, 37, 214–224).

by ketalization (ethylene glycol, tosic acid, C_6H_6 at reflux, 96%), reduction of COOMe to CHO (*i*-Bu₂AlH, hexane-toluene, -78 °C, 98%), reaction with H₂C=CHMgBr in THF at 0 °C (99%), and Swern oxidation to 2 (oxalyl chloride, DMSO, Et₃N in CH₂Cl₂, 84%). (5) Abbreviations used herein: THF, tetrahydrofuran; sgc, silica gel

chromatography; MOM, methoxymethyl; TBS, tert-butyldimethylsilyl; TES, triethylsilyl.

⁽⁶⁾ Hagiwara, H.; Uda, H. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 2308-2311.

⁽⁷⁾ Poss, A. J.; Belter, R. K. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4810-4812.